

COLE, RAYWID & BRAVERMAN, L.L.P.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
1919 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W., SUITE 200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006-3458
TELEPHONE (202) 659-9750
FAX (202) 452-0067
WWW.CRBLAW.COM

MARIA T. BROWNE
(202) 828-9881
MBROWNE@CRBLAW.COM

LOS ANGELES OFFICE
2381 ROSECRANS AVENUE, SUITE 110
EL SEGUNDO, CALIFORNIA 90245-4290
TELEPHONE (310) 643-7999
FAX (310) 643-7997

March 21, 2006

VIA ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W., Rm. TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Notice of Permitted Ex Parte Contacts, RM-11303

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The purpose of this letter is to advise the Commission of permitted *ex parte* contacts in the above-referenced proceeding. On March 20, 2006, Maria Browne and Scott Thompson on behalf of Virtual Hipster and NextG Networks, Inc., respectively, met with Attorney, Jonathon Reel, and Deputy Chief, Jeremy Miller, of the Wireline Competition Bureau. They discussed the matters summarized in the companies' comments of January 30, 2006, previously filed in this docket. The attached pictures demonstrating that equipment boxes are currently located in unusable space on poles, as well as the attached diagrams illustrating possible Virtual Hipster attachment methods were also shared with the Commission staff in attendance. In addition, the attached outline of points was shared with the Commission staff in attendance.

Sincerely,

/s/ Maria T. Browne

Maria T. Browne

cc: Jeremy Miller, Deputy Chief, WCB
Jonathon Reel, Attorney, WCB
Shad Nygren
Robert Delsman

COLE, RAYWID & BRAVERMAN, L.L.P.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
1919 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W., SUITE 200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006-3458
TELEPHONE (202) 659-9750
FAX (202) 452-0067
WWW.CRBLAW.COM

T. SCOTT THOMPSON
WRITER'S E-MAIL
STHOMPSON@CRBLAW.COM

LOS ANGELES OFFICE
2381 ROSECRANS AVENUE, SUITE 110
EL SEGUNDO, CALIFORNIA 90245-4290
TELEPHONE (310) 643-7999
FAX (310) 643-7997

MARCH 20, 2006

EX PARTE


Summary Of NextG Networks, Inc. Issues For Pole Attachment Rulemaking

- I. Open Rulemaking Under Fibertech Petition
- II. Address Wireless Attachment Issues In Rulemaking
 - A. Affirmative Rule Confirming That Telecommunication Rate Rules Apply To Wireless Attachments
 - 1. Maintain one foot presumption, but allow for individualization of space allocation for particular equipment
 - B. Rule Prohibiting Delay For Development Of "Wireless" Attachment Agreement
 - C. Presumption Of Pole Top Attachment Availability
 - D. Presumption That Equipment Permitted in Unuseable Space
 - E. Rule That FCC Rules Apply If "Certified" State Commissions Have Not Adopted Wireless Telecom Specific Rules

Examples of Equipment in Unusable Space







Diagrams of Virtual Hipster Possible Methods of Attachment

Virtual Hipster antenna attachment on top of Distribution Pole

